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#### Abstract

Three specific problems are introduced and solved. The first is to determine the number of times the adjoint representation of $S U_{n}$ occurs in the Kronecker square of a self-contragredientrepresentation. The second is to determine the number of times the adjoint appears in the symmetric part of the square with the third being the number of times the adjoint appears in the antisymmetric part. These problems are solved by recasting them as three problems concerning the squares of self-complementary $S$-functions and an equivalent adjoint $S$-function of a particular shape.
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## 1. Introduction

Problems in physics and mathematics can often be expressed in terms of ordered partitions of integers associated with special shapes and special types of Schur functions ( $S$-functions). In this paper we study a problem that arose in the study of properties of the real representations of the groups $S U_{n}$. The entire problem may be recast as a particular problem in the theory of $S$-functions. We shall first introduce some definitions and then give a precise statement of the $S$-function problem followed by its solution. Finally we relate the results to irreducible representations (irreps) of the special unitary groups $S U_{n}$. Throughout this paper we follow the notations described in Macdonald's book (Macdonald 1979).

## 2. Some definitions

Let $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{\ell}\right)$ be an ordered partition such that $\left(\lambda_{1} \geq \lambda_{2} \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_{\ell} \geq 0\right)$, possibly with trailing zeros to make the total number of parts of $\lambda$ equal to a positive integer $n$. Such a partition may be inscribed in a box $B=\left(\lambda_{1}^{n}\right)$ having $\lambda_{1}$ columns and $n$ rows as illustrated for the particular case of the partition (4210).


The cells in the lower portion of $B$ not occupied by those of $\lambda$ describe the shape of a partition $\lambda^{c}$ (after rotation by $\pi$ ) which we shall term the complement of $\lambda$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{c}=\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{n}, \lambda_{1}-\lambda_{n-1}, \ldots, 0\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

A partition $\lambda^{s c}$ will be said to self-complementary if $\lambda=\lambda^{c}$. In that case the box $B$ involves two equal parts (to within a rotation by $\pi$ ) as shown below for the partition (6510).

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

We may associate with any self-complementary partition $\lambda^{s c}$ an adjoint equivalent partition $\lambda^{a e}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{a e}=\left(\lambda_{1}+1, \lambda_{1}^{n-2}, \lambda_{1}-1\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

of length $\ell\left(\lambda^{a e}\right)=n$ and weight $\left|\lambda^{a e}\right|=2\left|\lambda^{s c}\right|$. Thus $\lambda^{s c}=(6510)$ is associated with the adjoint equivalent partition $\lambda^{a e}=(7665)$.

The shape of $\lambda^{a e}$ for a given $\lambda^{s c}$ is obtained by the simple procedure:-

1) draw the $\lambda_{1} \times n$ box $B$.
2) add one cell to the first row of $B$.
3) delete the South-Eastern corner cell of $B$.

The shape for $\lambda^{a e}=(7665)$ is illustrated below.

!

## 3. Statement of the problem

Let the $S$-function indexed by the partition $\lambda$ be denoted by $\{\lambda\}$. Denote the coefficient of $\{\lambda\}$ in the expansion of a symmetric function $F$ by $\langle F,\{\lambda\}\rangle$. The three problems to be considered involve the evaluation of the following non-negative integers

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle\left\{\lambda^{s c}\right\} \cdot\left\{\lambda^{s c}\right\},\left\{\lambda^{a e}\right\}\right\rangle  \tag{I}\\
& \left\langle\{2\} \circ\left\{\lambda^{s c}\right\},\left\{\lambda^{a e}\right\}\right\rangle  \tag{II}\\
& \left\langle\left\{1^{2}\right\} \circ\left\{\lambda^{s c}\right\},\left\{\lambda^{a e}\right\}\right\rangle \tag{III}
\end{align*}
$$

## 4. Some propositions

The explicit evaluation of (I) can be made as follows:
It is known that $\langle\{\lambda\} \cdot\{\mu\},\{\gamma\}\rangle=\langle\{\lambda\},\{\gamma\} /\{\mu\}\rangle$
To find the coefficient, we
a) draw the shape $\lambda^{a e} / \lambda^{s c}$
b) fill the shape with $\lambda_{i}^{s c}$ copies of $i$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell\left(\lambda^{s c}\right)$, such that the numbers must be weakly increasing from left to right for any row and strictly increasing from top to bottom for any column.
c) further, if the letters are read from right to left, row by row, then the word must be a lattice permutation.
Thus for $\lambda^{s c}=(118865330)$ we obtain the five numberings below to give
$\left\langle\{118865330\} \cdot\{118865330\},\left\{1211^{6} 10\right\}\right\rangle=5$

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 3 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 4 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 5 |  |
|  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 6 |  |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 7 |  |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 7 |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 |  |
|  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 |  |
|  |  |  | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 |  |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 7 |  |  |



Examples such as the above suggested the following proposition:
Proposition 1.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\left\{\lambda^{s c}\right\} \cdot\left\{\lambda^{s c}\right\},\left\{\lambda^{a e}\right\}\right\rangle=d\left(\lambda^{s c}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d\left(\lambda^{s c}\right)$ is the number of distinct non-zero parts of the partition $\lambda^{s c}$.
The above proposition comes from first noting that

$$
\{\lambda / 1\} \cdot\{1\} \supseteq d(\lambda)\{\lambda\}
$$

(with all other terms having multiplicities $\leq 1$.) and then that the shape of $\left\{\lambda^{a \epsilon} / \lambda^{s c}\right\}$ decomposes into two disjoint shapes, one containing just a single cell and the other a skew frame that has the same $S$-function content as $\left\{\lambda^{s c} / 1\right\}$ leading to

$$
\left\{\lambda^{a c} / \lambda^{s c}\right\} \equiv\left\{\lambda^{s c} / 1\right\} \cdot\{1\}
$$

and thence to the desired result of Eq. (3).
The square of an $S$-function $\{\lambda\}$ may be resolved into its symmetric and antisymmetric terms by use of the power sum plethysms

$$
\begin{align*}
& \{2\} \circ\{\lambda\}=\frac{1}{2}\left(p_{1}^{2} \circ\{\lambda\}+p_{2} \circ\{\lambda\}\right)  \tag{4}\\
& \left\{1^{2}\right\} \circ\{\lambda\}=\frac{1}{2}\left(p_{1}^{2} \circ\{\lambda\}-p_{2} \circ\{\lambda\}\right) \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

If $\left\langle p_{2} \circ\left\{\lambda^{s c}\right\},\left\{\lambda^{a e}\right\}\right\rangle=0$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\{2\} \circ\left\{\lambda^{s c}\right\},\left\{\lambda^{a e}\right\}\right\rangle=\left\langle\left\{1^{2}\right\} \circ\left\{\lambda^{s c}\right\},\left\{\lambda^{a e}\right\}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\{\lambda\}^{2},\left\{\lambda^{a e}\right\}\right\rangle \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We may be assured that $\left\langle p_{2} \circ\left\{\lambda^{s c}\right\},\left\{\lambda^{a \epsilon}\right\}\right\rangle=0$ if $\lambda^{a e}$ has a non-null 2-core (Littlewood 1951).

## Proposition 2.

if $n$ is odd then $\lambda^{a e}$ has a non-null 2-core whereas if $n$ is even then $\lambda^{a e}$ has a null 2-core.

Proof The 2-core of $\lambda^{a e}$ is determined by the following steps:

1) Make $\ell=\ell\left(\lambda^{a e}\right)$ even (adding a zero if needed).
2) Form the augmented partition, $\hat{\lambda}^{a e}$ by adding the staircase $\Delta_{\ell}=(\ell-1, \ell-$ $2, \ldots, 3,2,1,0)$ to $\lambda^{a e}$ i.e. $\hat{\lambda}^{a \epsilon}=\lambda^{a \epsilon}+\Delta_{\ell}$.
3) Count the number of even and odd parts of $\hat{\lambda}^{a e}$. If the numbers are equal then $\lambda^{a e}$ has a null 2-core otherwise the 2-core is non-null.
When $n$ is odd we find that $\hat{\lambda}^{a e}$ has more even than odd parts and hence the 2-core cannot be null, conversely for $n$ even the number of odd and even parts is always equal and hence the 2-core is always null.
$\diamond$
In the case when $\left\{\lambda^{a e}\right\}$ has null 2 -core, the coefficient $\left\langle p_{2} \circ\left\{\lambda^{s c}\right\},\left\{\lambda^{a e}\right\}\right\rangle$ is determined by the 2 -content of $\left\{\lambda^{a e}\right\}$ (Littlewood 1951). Let $c_{1}, c_{2}$ be the 2 -content of $\lambda^{a e}$ and $\varepsilon$ be the 2 -sign of $\lambda^{a e}$. Then $\left\{\lambda^{a e}\right\}$ appears in $p_{2} \circ\left\{\lambda^{s c}\right\}$ with coefficient $k$ and sign $\varepsilon$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\left\{c_{1}\right\} \cdot\left\{c_{2}\right\},\left\{\lambda^{s c}\right\}\right\rangle=k \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The 2-content of $\lambda^{a e}$ is determined by

## Proposition 3.

Let $\lambda^{a e}=\left(\lambda_{1}+1, \lambda_{1}^{n-2}, \lambda_{1}-1\right)$ with $n$ even. If $\lambda_{1}$ is even then the 2-content of $\lambda^{a e}$ are

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}=\left(\frac{\lambda_{1}+2}{2}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \quad \text { and } \quad c_{2}=\left(\frac{\lambda_{1}-2}{2}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

while if $\lambda_{1}$ is odd then the 2-content of $\lambda^{a e}$ are

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}=\left(\frac{\lambda_{1}+1}{2}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \quad \text { and } \quad c_{2}=\left(\frac{\lambda_{1}-1}{2}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Proof

The 2-content of $\lambda^{a e}$ is obtained by reading the augmented partition $\hat{\lambda}^{a e}$ from right to left and replacing the first even number by 0 , the second by $2, \ldots$ the $\frac{n}{2}$ even number by $n-2$. Similarly, the first odd number is replaced by 1 , the second by $3, \ldots$, the last odd number by $n-1$. Denote the resulting sequence by $\rho^{a e}$ Now, let

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{\lambda}^{a e}=\hat{\lambda}_{\text {even }}^{a e} \cup \hat{\lambda}_{o d d}^{a e}  \tag{10}\\
& \hat{\rho}^{a e}=\hat{\rho}_{\text {even }}^{a e} \cup \hat{\rho}_{o d d}^{a e} \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\lambda_{\text {even }}$ and $\lambda_{\text {odd }}$ denote the partitions made from the even and odd parts of $\lambda$ respectively. Then the 2 -contents of $\lambda^{a e}$ are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}\left(\hat{\lambda}_{e v e n}^{a e}-\hat{\rho}_{e v e n}^{a e}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{1}{2}\left(\hat{\lambda}_{o d d}^{a e}-\hat{\rho}_{o d d}^{a e}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

leading directly to the desired result.

## $\diamond$

## Proposition 4.

If $\lambda_{1}$ is even then the 2-sign of $\lambda^{a e}$ is $\varepsilon=-1$.
If $\lambda_{1}$ is odd then the 2-sign of $\lambda^{a e}$ is $\varepsilon=-1$ if $n=0 \bmod 4$ or if $n=2 \bmod 4$ then $\varepsilon=+1$.

## Proof

By definition the 2-sign is equal to $(-1)^{i n v\left(\rho^{a e}\right)}$ where $\rho^{a e}$ is as defined in Prop. 3, $\operatorname{and} \operatorname{inv}\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \cdots, n_{\ell}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \operatorname{inv}\left(n_{i}\right), \operatorname{and} \operatorname{inv}\left(n_{i}\right)=\operatorname{card}\left\{n_{j}: j>i\right.$ and $\left.n_{j}>n_{i}\right\}$. This leads immediately to the proposition.
$\diamond$

## Proposition 5.

If $n$ is even, $\lambda^{s c} \supset\left\{c_{1}\right\}$ and $\lambda^{s c} \supset\left\{c_{2}\right\}$, then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\left\{c_{1}\right\} \cdot\left\{c_{2}\right\},\left\{\lambda^{s c}\right\}\right\rangle=1 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Otherwise, the coefficient is zero.
In such a case all the $S$-functions of length $\leq n-1$ arising in $\left\{c_{1}\right\} \cdot\left\{c_{2}\right\}$ are indexed by self-complementary partitions. The above proposition is known (Stanley 1971).

## 5. Final results

With the above propositions established it becomes a simple matter to solve Problems (II) and (III) leading immediately to

1) If $n$ is odd then

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\{2\} \circ\left\{\lambda^{s c}\right\},\left\{\lambda^{a \epsilon}\right\}\right\rangle & =\left\langle\left\{1^{2}\right\} \circ\left\{\lambda^{s c}\right\},\left\{\lambda^{a \epsilon}\right\}\right\rangle \\
& =\frac{1}{2} d\left(\lambda^{s c}\right) \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

2) If $n$ is even and $\lambda^{s c} \not \supset c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ then

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\{2\} \circ\left\{\lambda^{s c}\right\},\left\{\lambda^{a e}\right\}\right\rangle & =\left\langle\left\{1^{2}\right\} \circ\left\{\lambda^{s c}\right\},\left\{\lambda^{a e}\right\}\right\rangle \\
& =\frac{1}{2} d\left(\lambda^{s c}\right) \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

3) Suppose $n$ is even, $\lambda^{s c} \supset c_{1}$ and $\lambda^{s c} \supset c_{2}$. If $\lambda_{1}$ is odd and $n=2 \bmod 4$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle\{2\} \circ\left\{\lambda^{s c}\right\},\left\{\lambda^{a e}\right\}\right\rangle=\frac{d\left(\lambda^{s c}\right)+1}{2}  \tag{16}\\
& \left\langle\left\{1^{2}\right\} \circ\left\{\lambda^{s c}\right\},\left\{\lambda^{a e}\right\}\right\rangle=\frac{d\left(\lambda^{s c}\right)-1}{2} \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

4) Suppose $n$ is even, $\lambda^{s c} \supset c_{1}$ and $\lambda^{s c} \supset c_{2}$. If $\lambda_{1}$ is even, or, $\lambda_{1}$ is odd and $n=0 \bmod 4$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle\{2\} \circ\left\{\lambda^{s c}\right\},\left\{\lambda^{a e}\right\}\right\rangle=\frac{d\left(\lambda^{s c}\right)-1}{2}  \tag{18}\\
& \left\langle\left\{1^{2}\right\} \circ\left\{\lambda^{s c}\right\},\left\{\lambda^{a e}\right\}\right\rangle=\frac{d\left(\lambda^{s c}\right)+1}{2} \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

We note that since the plethysm coefficients are integers, this proposition implies that when $n$ is odd, or, when $n$ is even but $\lambda^{s c} \not \supset c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$, then $d\left(\lambda^{s c}\right)$ must be an even number. On the other hand, when $n$ is even and $\lambda^{s c} \supset c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$, then $d\left(\lambda^{s c}\right)$ must be an odd number. This result can also be verified directly by examining the shapes of $\lambda^{s c}, c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$.

## 6. Self-contragredientand adjoint representations in $S U_{n}$

The above problem was motivated by an analogous problem concerning representations of the Lie groups of the generic type $S U_{n}$. Let us recall a few well-known properties of the irreducible representations of $S U_{n}$. The inequivalent irreducible representations of $S U_{n}$ may be labelled by ordered partitions of integers involving at most $n-1$ non-zero parts. Those involving $n$ parts are equivalent to irreps involving $\leq(n-1)$ parts via

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right\} \equiv\left\{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{n}, \lambda_{2}-\lambda_{n}, \ldots, 0\right\} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. One can remove any number columns of length $n$. The generators of the group $S U_{n}$ span the real adjoint representation $\left\{21^{n-2}\right\}$.

The Kronecker product $\{\lambda\} \times\{\mu\}$ is equivalent to the Littlewood-Richardson evaluation of the $S$-function product $\{\lambda \cdot \mu\}$ with partitions involving more than $n$-parts are discarded and those with $n$-parts reduced to fewer than $n$-parts using (19).

An irrep of $S U_{n},\left\{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots\right\}$, has a contragredientpartner $\left\{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{n}, \lambda_{1}-\lambda_{n-1}, \ldots\right\}$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots\right\} \equiv\left\{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{n}, \lambda_{1}-\lambda_{n-1}, \ldots\right\} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the irrep $\left\{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ is said to be self contragradient (sc). The irreps of $S U_{n}$ are real only if they are self-contragradient. All other irreps of $S U_{n}$ are complex. Thus the Kronecker square of an irrep $\{\lambda\}$ of $S U_{n}$ will yield the adjoint irrep if and only if the irrep $\{\lambda\}$ is self-contragradient. Our problem was to determine the number of times the adjoint representation $\left\{21^{n-2}\right\}$ occurs in the Kronecker square of a given self-contragredientrepresentation $\left\{\lambda^{s c}\right\}$ of $S U_{n}$ and to determine the number of times it occurs in the symmetric and antisymmetric parts of the square. The relevant results follow directly from those found in the solution of the three $S$-function problems discussed earlier simply by noting the equivalence in (20) with, for given $n$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\lambda^{a e}\right\} \rightarrow\left\{21^{n-2}\right\} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$
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